IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

PAMELA MOSES

Plaintiff,

v.

Docket No.: ______ Jury Demands

STATE OF TENNESSEE; STEVE MULROY, In his individual and official capacity as the District Attorney General; AMY WEIRICH, In her individual capacity

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, Pamela Moses by and through counsel, and files this Complaint. This action arises from acts of individual and systemic misconduct by the State of Tennessee District Attorney General's Office, District Attorney, Steve Mulroy and its supervisors and officers, including but not limited to former District Attorney General Amy Weirich, which proximately caused the injuries to Plaintiff. This action arises under federal law 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for false arrest and/or reckless and malicious prosecution in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution In support of this complaint, Plaintiff states as follows:

(I) INTRODUCTION

 This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for false arrest and/or reckless and malicious prosecution in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution. 2. The Plaintiff respectfully reserves the right to further amend this pleading as future discovery might dictate.

(II) <u>PARTIES</u>

3. The Plaintiff, PAMELA MOSES (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), an African American female, is a United States citizen and a resident of Memphis, Tennessee.

(III) JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and this action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and the Fourth and Fourteenth amendments to the United States Constitution. This Court also has concurrent jurisdiction for any action arising out of the Tennessee's Government Tort Liability Act, the Common Law of Tennessee, and other applicable laws.
- 5. Venue is proper in the Western District of Tennessee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) as all Defendants reside in this district and all relevant events too place in this district.

(IV) <u>FACTS</u>

- 6. Plaintiff is an African American woman born on October 5, 1977.
- 7. Plaintiff is the founder and CEO of Black Lives Matter Memphis Chapter (BLMM).
- Plaintiff is a graduate of the University of Tennessee at Knoxville, and received a Master's degree in Intercultural Studies from Union University.
- 9. Plaintiff is a mother and human rights activist who advocates for racial inequality and promotes equity and historical neighborhood preservation for her community.
- 10. Through Plaintiff's activism she is a powerful voice for her community seeking to dismantle systemic corruption and racism in Memphis, Tennessee.

- 11. Plaintiff is also a community organizer who promotes non-violent social justice, she currently serves as the District 7 Shelby County Democratic Executive Committee Chairwoman, and North Memphis Ambassador of the United States Human Rights Network.
- 12. Plaintiff has developed several BLMM initiatives for change, including the #DONTTOUCHMYVOTE campaign aimed at overcoming legal barriers at the state and local levels that prevent African Americans from exercising their right to vote. This campaign gave individuals a chance to reach out to family and friends to educate each other on the existing voting restoration process and promote the importance of the Black vote.
- On July 31, 2000, Plaintiff was convicted of aggravated assault, a class C felony in Knox County, Tennessee. As a result, Plaintiff received a three-year sentence.
- 14. Due to Plaintiff's conviction, she was found infamous and lost her rights of citizenship, including her right to vote.
- 15. Pursuant to T.C.A. § 40-20-114, a person convicted on a loses the right to run for office in addition to their voting rights.
- 16. On November 4, 2021, Plaintiff was found guilty by a jury for a Class D felony of making or consenting to false entries on official registration or election documents in violation of T.C.A. § 2-19-109 after she was erroneously provided with a certificate by a Shelby County corrections department official that her probation period had ended. Plaintiff, at the time, was a 44 year old mother of two and community activist. Plaintiff denied all charges and drew national attention to her case.

- 17. On January 31, 2022, in the Criminal Court of Shelby County, Tennessee, for the Thirteenth Judicial District at Memphis Division IX, entered a written Sentencing Order sentencing Plaintiff to six years in prison.
- 18. At the time of the filing of this Complaint, Plaintiff is still unable to vote, even though both the Department of Corrections and Shelby County Election Commission have acknowledged that they calculated the sentencing expiration incorrectly.
- 19. In 2017, Plaintiff led an effort that called for the resignation of District Attorney Amy Weirich, who was the prosecutor in Plaintiff's 2019 criminal case.
- 20. In 2019, the stewards of the court approved and completed Plaintiff's certificate of rights restoration, certifying that her probation had ended and reinstating her full voting privileges. Plaintiff then applied for voter registration with the Shelby County Elections Committee, which application was approved.
- 21. Following the direction of the stewards of the court and the Shelby County Elections Committee Plaintiff rightfully believed that her voting rights were properly restored.
- 22. At no time did Plaintiff falsify any documents related to the restoration of her voting rights. Rather, Plaintiff followed the instructions of the stewards of the court.
- 23. Both the Shelby County Criminal Court and the State Probation office recognized and signed the certificate of restoration of voting rights form.
- 24. Plaintiff was overjoyed to be able to vote again in the upcoming elections.
- 25. Despite Plaintiff's representations and the actions of both the Shelby County Criminal Court and the State Probation Office, Defendants nevertheless alleged that Plaintiff had unlawfully convinced the State Probation office that her rights were restored.

- 26. Defendants wrongfully accused Plaintiff of fraudulently obtaining the certification of restoration of voting rights.
- 27. However, Plaintiff played no role in obtaining the certificate of restoration of her voting rights.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the Manager Billington, steward of the court, made a mistake in issuing her a certificate of restoration of her voting rights.
- 29. Thereafter, Plaintiff was criminalized, demoralized, and punished for the errors of the state itself.
- 30. Plaintiff did not forge the certificate of restoration of voting rights.
- 31. Defendants knew that the certificate of restoration of voting rights was a result of the Shelby County Election Commission's mistake.
- 32. As a result, Plaintiff was wrongfully convicted, and her life was placed on pause while she fought to prove she had no involvement in the mistakes of the Shelby County Election Commission.
- 33. On February 25, 2022, Plaintiff's counsel filed a Second Amended Motion for Judgment of Acquittal or in the Alternative Motion for New Trial in Plaintiff's criminal case *State of Tennessee v. Pamela Moses*, Case No. 19-06482. Plaintiff's motion alleged a Brady violation because the State failed to provide the defense an email from Joe S. Williams with regard to an internal investigation by the Department of Corrections of the incident for which Ms. Moses was convicted. The Court also ruled that "Said document was discoverable by the defense under Tenn.R.Crim.P. 16(a)(1)(F) as well as under the dictates of *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963)." Furthermore, although the Assistant District Attorney who handled the case for the State indicated that he had not seen the

documents, *Brady* and Rule 16 are applicable regardless of the good faith of the prosecution. Additionally, under *Brady* and Rule 16, the prosecution is responsible for knowing what is contained in all state agency files and is held strictly liable for failure to disclose even when it has no actual knowledge of the existence of the information.

- 34. On April 22, 2022, Plaintiff finally received the justice she deserved when Defendants dismissed Plaintiff's illegal registration charges.
- 35. Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, anxiety, mental anguish, embarrassment, and harassment as a result of Defendants' actions.

(V) CAUSES OF ACTION

- 36. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates all previous paragraphs into each subsequent Count.
- 37. Each enumerated count also incorporates all allegations of all other counts.

COUNT ONE:

Violation of U.S.C. § 1983 for Reckless and Malicious Prosecution against Amy Weirich in her Individual Capacity

- 38. Plaintiff reiterates and incorporates the previous paragraphs as if fully set forth in this Count.
- 39. In the present case, District Attorney General, Amy Weirich acting under color of state law, aided in the decision to charge and prosecute Plaintiff for a Class D felony of making or consenting to false entries on official registration or election documents in violation of T.C.A. § 2-19-109.
- 40. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant Amy Weirich knowingly withheld evidence which contains information that was not addressed during trial and that would have exonerated Plaintiff.

- 41. On September 5, 2019, an email was written by a senior corrections department official, Mr. Joe Shannon Williams, after an internal investigation into the matter. This email acknowledged that it was a mistake of Manager Billington. Manager Billington was the steward who signed off on Plaintiff's voter registration application in 2019, certifying that her probation had ended and her voting privileges were reinstated.
- 42. Defendant Amy Weirich, continued to prosecute Plaintiff for a Class D felony of making or consenting to false entries on official registration or election documents in violation of T.C.A. § 2-19-109.
- 43. Defendant Amy Weirich intentionally and maliciously made the decision to continue to prosecute Plaintiff after September 5, 2019, with information that Plaintiff did not falsify any voting records or documents, but that there was a mistake on the part of the steward of the Court and Manager Billington.
- 44. The above referenced decision making shows that Defendant Amy Weirich failed to disclose pertinent information and acted with a reckless disregard which led to the unlawful prosecution and deprivation of liberty of Plaintiff.
- 45. The charges were dismissed against Plaintiff. On April 22, 2022, the Office of the District Attorney General issued a letter indicating the Plaintiff will not be tried a second time on the felon charge of illegally registering to vote.
- 46. The conduct and action of Defendant Amy Weirich, in her individual capacity, constitutes a reckless and malicious prosecution which ultimately violated Plaintiff's rights under the United States Constitution.

47. As a result, Plaintiff suffered mental anguish, emotional distress, stress, anxiety, embarrassment, humiliation and demoralization. Plaintiff also spent a total of 82 days in custody.

COUNT TWO:

Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for Reckless and Malicious Prosecution Against the State of Tennessee for its Customs, Policies, and Practices

- 48. Plaintiff reiterates and incorporates the previous paragraphs as if fully set forth in this Count.
- 49. As alleged above, Defendant Amy Weirich violated the rights of Plaintiff secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution by recklessly and maliciously prosecuting Plaintiff, despite clear evidence that Plaintiff did not fraudulently falsified voting registration documents. Specifically, Defendant State of Tennessee, enacted policies and customs that were deliberately indifferent to and therefore caused the violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights.
- 50. Defendant State of Tennessee, acting by and through its policymakers, officers, and agents with deliberate indifference, implemented customs and policies, and authorized, approved or knowingly acquiesced in the unconstitutional conduct of Defendant Amy Weirich who violated the above-described constitutional rights of Plaintiff. These policies and customs directly and proximately caused the above referenced constitutional rights violation resulting in Plaintiff's reckless and malicious prosecution.
- 51. Defendant State of Tennessee, acting by and through their policymakers, officers, and agents with deliberate indifference, failed to properly hire and train its agents and employees with respect to their responsibilities in ensuring that they do not recklessly and

maliciously prosecute individuals, which directly and proximately caused the abovedescribed constitutional rights violations.

52. By failing to properly hire and train its agents and employees with respect to their responsibilities in ensuring that they do not recklessly and maliciously prosecute individuals, by enforcing unwritten policies or customs of reckless and malicious prosecution with deliberate indifference to the violation of an individual's constitutional rights, and by the implementation and enforcement of municipal customs or policies, the Defendant State of Tennessee acted as the moving force behind the misconduct of the individual Defendant in this matter and is ultimately responsible for the violation of Plaintiff's rights under the United States Constitution.

COUNT THREE:

Violation of *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents*, 430 U.S. 388 (1971) for Reckless and Malicious Prosecution Against the State of Tennessee for its Customs, Policies, and Practices

- 53. Plaintiff reiterates and incorporates the previous paragraphs as if fully set forth in this Count.
- 54. As alleged above, Plaintiff was deprived of her rights secured by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution when Defendant State of Tennessee and Defendant Amy Weirich recklessly and maliciously prosecuted Plaintiff, despite clear evidence that Plaintiff did not fraudulently falsify voting registration documents. Specifically, Defendant State of Tennessee engaged in at least one *Brady* violation in failing to disclose an email within its possession and control from Joe S. Williams with regard to an internal investigation by the Department of Corrections of the incident for which Ms. Moses was convicted.

- 55. Defendant State of Tennessee was thereby responsible for knowing what is contained in all state agency files and is held strictly liable for its failure to disclose even when it has no actual knowledge of the existence of the information.
- 56. The deprivation of Plaintiff's rights secured under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution was caused by the State of Tennessee acting under color of law when it failed to either locate and disclose the information and email to Plaintiff or her counsel during the pendency of her criminal trial.
- 57. As a result, Plaintiff suffered mental anguish, emotional distress, stress, anxiety, embarrassment, humiliation and demoralization. Plaintiff also spent a total of 82 days in custody.

VI. DAMAGES

- 58. Plaintiff has suffered damages including, but not limited to, compensatory damages for financial, physical, and emotional harms proximately caused by the wrongful conduct of Defendants.
- 59. Plaintiff suffered loss of job/wages, impairment of reputation, personal humiliation, mental anguish, and suffering direly and proximately caused by the Defendants' conduct.
- 60. In the preceding criminal matter, Plaintiff spent 82 days in custody after being recklessly and maliciously prosecuted. Furthermore, Plaintiff's reckless and malicious prosecution lasted for about five months. During this time, Plaintiff suffered great injury, including loss of her liberty while being falsely detained, the loss of her employment and wages, great mental anguish and emotional distress, personal humiliation, and damage to her reputation.

61. Plaintiff's harm and suffering was directly and proximately caused by the Defendants' conduct. For these reasons, Plaintiff submits that compensatory and punitive damages are appropriate in this matter.

VII. PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, the Plaintiff respectfully demands as follows:

- 1. That process issues to the Defendants and that they be required to answer in the time allowed by law.
- 2. That judgment be rendered in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendants, jointly and severally, on all causes of action asserted herein.
- 3. That Plaintiff be awarded damages to which it may appear she is entitled by the proof submitted in this cause for physical and mental pain and suffering, both past and future, in an amount to be determined by the jury.
- That Plaintiff be awarded both compensatory and punitive damages against the Defendants.
- 5. That Plaintiff be awarded reasonable expenses incurred in this litigation, including reasonable attorney and expert fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b) and (c) and any other applicable state or federal law.
- 6. That the Plaintiff receive any further and general relief to which the justice of this cause may require.
- 7. Pre- and post-judgment interest as permitted by law.
- 8. A jury for the trial of this matter for all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Carlos E. Moore</u> CARLOS E. MOORE, TN# 028649

Attorney for Plaintiff

OF COUNSEL:

THE COCHRAN FIRM – Mississippi Delta

306 Branscome Drive P.O. Box 1487 Grenada, MS 38902 (662) 227-9940 (Telephone) (662) 227-9941 (Fax) Email: <u>cmoore@cochranfirm.com</u> Case 2:22-cv-02720-JTF-atc Document 1-1 Filed 10/21/22 Page 1 of 2 PageID 13

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Western District of Tennessee

-

Civil Action No.

PAMELA MOSES
Plaintiff(s)
v.
STATE OF TENNESSEE; STEVE MULROY, in his individual and official capacity as the District Attorney General; AMY WEIRICH, in her individual capacity
Defendant(s)

Defendant(s)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) STATE OF TENNESSEE

c/o Jonathan Skrmetti, Attorney General 500 Charlotte Avenue Nashville, TN 37219

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Carlos E. Moore, Esq., THE COCHRAN FIRM - MS DELTA; 306 Branscome Drive, P. O. Box 1487, Grenada, MS 38902-1487

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (<i>n</i> ceived by me on (<i>date</i>)		STATE OF TN; c/o Jonathan Skrmetti, Atto	orney General	
	•	ed the summons on the individ	dual at (place)		
	1 5		on (date)	; or	
	□ I left the summon		e or usual place of abode with (name)	sides there	
	on (date)		by to the individual's last known address; or		
	□ I served the summons on (<i>name of individual</i>) designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (<i>name of organization</i>)				
			on (date)	; or	
	\Box I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted because		; or	
	O Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00 .	
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this inform	ation is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

gelD 15

Case 2:22-cv-02720-JTF-atc	Document 1-2	Filed 10/21/22	Page 1 of 2	Pa
AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action				
	D STATES D for the Western District of	e	OURT	
PAMELA MOSES Plaintiff(s))))))	Civil Action No		
v. STATE OF TENNESSEE; STEVE MULRO individual and official capacity as the Distric General; AMY WEIRICH, in her individual	ct Attorney	Civil Action No).	
Defendant(s))			
S	UMMONS IN A C	CIVIL ACTION		

To: (Defendant's name and address) STEVE MULROY, Shelby Co. District Attorney General 201 Poplar Avenue, 11th Floor Memphis, TN 38103

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Carlos E. Moore, Esq., THE COCHRAN FIRM - MS DELTA; 306 Branscome Drive, P. O. Box 1487, Grenada, MS 38902-1487

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date:

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (name of individual and title, if any)	STEVE MULROY, Shelby Co. District Attorn	ney Genera	I				
was rec	every development on (date)							
	□ I personally served the summons on the indivi	□ I personally served the summons on the individual at (<i>place</i>)						
		on (date)	; or					
	□ I left the summons at the individual's residence	ce or usual place of abode with (name)						
	, a	person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,					
	on (date), and mailed a co	py to the individual's last known address; or						
	□ I served the summons on (<i>name of individual</i>)		, v	vho is				
	designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of (name of organization)							
		on (date)	; or					
	\Box I returned the summons unexecuted because			; or				
	□ Other (<i>specify</i>):							
	My fees are \$ for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this inform	nation is true.						
Date:								
Date.		Server's signature						
		Printed name and title						

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 2:22-cv-02720-JTF-atc Document 1-3 Filed 10/21/22 Page 1 of 2 PageID 17

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

	The state of Tennessee			
PAMELA MOSES Plaintiff(s) V.))))) Civil Action No.			
STATE OF TENNESSEE; STEVE MULROY, in his individual and official capacity as the District Attorney General; AMY WEIRICH, in her individual capacity <i>Defendant(s)</i>))))			
) N A CIVIL ACTION			

To: (Defendant's name and address) AMY WEIRICH, Former Shelby Co. District Attorney General 121 North Main Street Ripley, TN 38063

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Carlos E. Moore, Esq., THE COCHRAN FIRM - MS DELTA; 306 Branscome Drive, P. O. Box 1487, Grenada, MS 38902-1487

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: _____

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if any)	AMY WEIRICH, Former Shelby Co. District	Attorney General
was rec	ceived by me on (date)			
	□ I personally serve	d the summons on the individ	dual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	□ I left the summon		e or usual place of abode with (name)	
			person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a cop	by to the individual's last known address; or	
		nons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on	behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	\Box I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		; or
	O Other (<i>specify</i>):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this inform	ation is true.	
Date:				
			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Case 2:22-cv-02720-JTF-atc Document 1-4 Filed 10/21/22 Page 1 of 1 PageID 19

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS PAMELA MOSES				DEFENDANTS STATE OF TENNESSEE; STEVE MULROY, in his individual and official capacity as the District Attorney General; AMY WEIRICH, et al.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Shelby				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(E2	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)		Note	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		
				NOTE: IN LAND CC THE TRACT	ONDEMNATION CASES, U OF LAND INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Carlos E. Moore, Esq., Ti Grenada, MS 38902-148		Delta; P. O. Box 14	487,				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in ()	ne Box Only)	III. Cľ	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PART	IES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plainliff	
1 U.S. Government	₿ 3 Federal Question			For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize	n of This State 🛛 🗡	1 🗇 1 Incorporated		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State 🛛	2 🗖 2 Incorporated of Busine	and Principal Place 5 5 5 ss In Another State	
				n or Subject of a 🛛 🗇 eign Country	3 🛛 3 Foreign Nati	on 🛛 6 🗖 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) DRTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Na BANKRUPTCY	ture of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	122.20	5 Drug Related Seizure	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158		
 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	D 69	of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	
 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment 	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical	1.7277652		PROPERTY RIGHTS	400 State Reapportionment	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Personal Injury			820 Copyrights	430 Banks and Banking	
 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted 	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviate 	d 450 Commerce	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	 340 Marine 345 Marine Product 	Injury Product Liability			New Drug Applicat 840 Trademark	tion difference 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 	 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 	0 /1	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 	485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act	
 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	72	0 Labor/Management Relations	 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 864 SSID Title XVI 	5(g)) 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/	
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	Exchange	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		I Family and Medical Leave Act		 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS ★ 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:	100 B	0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUIT 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintif		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detaince		Income Security Act	or Defendant)	Act	
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	8		871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure 	
 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	 530 General 535 Death Penalty 	201010	IMMIGRATION		Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
C	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application	1	950 Constitutionality of	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights	r 🗍 46	5 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement				£0	
		Remanded from C Appellate Court	J 4 Rein Reop	, interiore	r District Litig	tidistrict D 8 Multidistrict ation - Litigation -	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 42 U.S.C. §1983	atute under which you ar	e filing (L	(specify) In not cite jurisdictional stat		isfer Direct File	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca Violatoin of Cons	use: titutional Rights					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	D	EMAND S	CHECK YES JURY DEM/	only if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMDER	t	
DATE 10 21 28	P.	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY O	F RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AN	AOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			
ACCOL 1 # AN				JUDGE	MAC), JUDGE	